1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3		
4	21 South Frui	2022 - 1:33 p.m. t Street
5	Suite 10 Concord, NH	
6		
7	[He	aring also conducted via Webex]
8	RE:	DE 22-024
9		LIBERTY UTILITIES (GRANITE STATE ELECTRIC) CORP. d/b/a LIBERTY UTILITIES:
10		2022 Default Service Solicitations. (Prehearing conference)
11		
12	PRESENT:	Chairman Daniel C. Goldner, Presiding
13		Commissioner Pradip K. Chattopadhyay Commissioner Carleton B. Simpson
14		Doreen Borden, Clerk and PUC Hybrid Hearing Host
15	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Liberty Utilities (Granite
16		State Electric) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities:
17		Michael J. Sheehan, Esq.
18		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: Donald M. Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv.
19		Office of Consumer Advocate
20		Reptg. New Hampshire Dept. of Energy:
21		Matthew C. Young, Esq. David K. Wiesner, Esq.
22		(Regulatory Support Division)
23	Court Repo	orter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
24		

4		
1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	SUMMARY OF THE DOCKET BY CHAIRMAN GOLDNER	4
5	PRELIMINARY STATEMENT BY MR. SHEEHAN	5
6	INITIAL STATEMENT BY MR. SHEEHAN	6
7	QUESTIONS BY CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY	13
8	QUESTIONS BY CHAIRMAN GOLDNER	22
9		
10	FINAL STATEMENTS BY:	
11	Mr. Kreis	26
12	Mr. Young	27
13	Mr. Sheehan	28
14	Chairman Goldner	2 9
15	Mr. Wiesner	2 9
16	Cmsr. Simpson	3 0
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	PROCEEDING
2	CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Good
3	afternoon. I'm Commissioner Goldner. I'm joined
4	by Commissioner Simpson and Commissioner
5	Chattopadhyay.
6	This is a prehearing conference in
7	Docket DE 22-024, in preparation for the Liberty
8	Default Service Solicitation for the February
9	through July 2023 six-month period.
10	Let's take appearances, beginning with
11	the Company.
12	MR. SHEEHAN: Good afternoon,
13	Commissioners. Mike Sheehan for Liberty
14	Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp.
15	CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. And the
16	Office of Consumer Advocate?
17	MR. KREIS: Good afternoon. I'm Donald
18	Kreis, the Consumer Advocate, here on behalf of
19	residential utility customers, as usual.
20	CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Very good. And the
21	New Hampshire Department of Energy?
22	MR. YOUNG: Good afternoon,
23	Commissioners. Matt Young, appearing on behalf
24	of the Department of Energy. With me today is

David Wiesner, serving as co-counsel; and from our Regulatory Division is Liz Nixon, the Electric Director, as well as Scott Balise, who is an Analyst working on this matter.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Very good.

Is there anyone else here today that would like to identify themselves and make a statement on the record?

[No indication given.]

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Seeing none.

So, for preliminary issues, the prehearing conference was requested by the Company as part of its proposed procedural schedule that it submitted to the Commission on October 4th, 2022; no objections were made to the proposal. The procedural schedule, which was approved by the Commission in October, is geared toward the review of potential approval of Liberty Default Service rates for service beginning February 1st, 2023.

In its proposed procedural schedule,
Liberty made this notation for the prehearing
conference, a prehearing conference to discuss
alternative compliance in the event of a failed

```
1
         solicitation. We've seen a proposal from
 2.
         Liberty's New Hampshire peer utility, Eversource,
 3
         regarding how to handle this potential for their
 4
         upcoming Default Service Solicitation. And we
 5
         anticipate that Liberty will present its concepts
 6
         today.
 7
                   Does the Company have any comments on
 8
         the summary or suggestions on how best to proceed
 9
         today?
10
                   MR. SHEEHAN: No comments on the
11
         summary. What we were thinking is I have a
12
         two-minute statement to just sort of set the
         stage. And we have the folks here and on the
1.3
14
         screen who can answer questions. And,
15
         understanding you went through this with
16
         Eversource, I suspect you have the broad picture
17
         in mind. And we can just let you know what we're
18
         thinking and answer questions.
19
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Very good.
20
         Is that acceptable to the parties?
2.1
                   Very good. Is that acceptable to the
2.2
         parties?
23
                   MR. YOUNG:
                                Yes.
24
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER:
                                       Okay.
                                              Consumer
```

Advocate, are you okay with that proposal?

MR. KREIS: I am delighted with that

proposal.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Excellent. Thank

you. All right. Please proceed.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. And we're glad he's delighted.

First, thank you for holding this session. Our intent this morning is to let you know four or five things. First, what we would consider to be a so-called "failed auction".

Second, what you already know, there's a chance that could happen. Third, Liberty's plans to, hopefully, avoid that situation. Fourth, our plan in case of a failed auction. And five, the last step today is to allow you folks to ask questions.

First, we consider a "failed auction" to be one in which either we receive no bids for one or more of our blocks, or we receive one or more bids that we determine to be out-of-market, so that we would be compelled to reject that bid and take whatever the next steps are.

We're sensitive to the conversation

with Eversource last week. We do not intend to ask the Commission or the parties to participate in our decision of whether to accept or reject a bid. We will use our professional judgment, weighing all the relevant information, to make that decision.

2.

1.3

1 4

2.1

2.2

Second, as you likely heard from

Eversource last week, there is a chance of a

failed auction. There have been instances in New

England this season where utilities have not

received bids, or have received bids that those

utilities rejected. We're hopeful that won't be

the case here, but we won't know until we receive

bids.

We requested this session to make sure the Commission is aware of the risk, which you are, and what Liberty intends to do should we experience such an auction failure.

There is an informal step that we will take to try to avoid that situation, and it's fairly simple, and that is communication. Mr. Warshaw has always, and is now, talking to bidders. Every session he talks to them, takes their pulse, answers whatever questions, and he's

engaged in that now. Obviously, he can't affect their decisions, he can't predict anything. But he is talking, and will make whatever efforts he can to encourage folks to bid.

2.

1.3

2.2

We see that we have two options, if we get to that point of a failed bid. They are either, and/or, do another RFP, or, I never use quite the right lingo, but go to the market and purchase the power ourselves.

A second RFP has challenges. Schedule challenges, the bids themselves may be affected by the knowledge that the first auction failed. It could result in higher prices. However, if that becomes a viable option, we certainly can do it. It would be a compressed timeframe of RFP, response, hearing, all before the February 1 rate.

The other option we would have is to go to the market and procure power ourselves.

Mr. Doll and Mr. Parker on the screen, and their team, are based in Joplin, Missouri. Quick background, one of the Liberty affiliates is called the "Empire District". It's a roughly 200,000-customer, vertically-integrated utility,

and based in Missouri. And they had a fairly substantial team that does these kinds of things, and we get the benefit of their expertise.

2.

1.3

2.2

Should the Liberty New Hampshire portfolio have to be added to them, it would be something they can accommodate. They do this now for other Liberty affiliates, that is in the market buying power every day. So, adding Liberty would be adding just another piece to what they already do. So, the capabilities are there. And they can certainly explain that later.

My understanding is, the only out-of-house thing that they may need help with is some modeling. They do some modeling. The modeling of how much -- they have to make a decision every day how much to buy tomorrow. There's apparently some sophisticated modeling out there that they may seek outside assistance for. But that's -- my understanding, that is the only outside cost. Of course, should they be doing this, their time would be charged to New Hampshire, and that would be at some cost to run that program.

And, if we were to go that route, we would propose a rate based on then futures, and that's the rate that would be billed. And there would be some process to reconcile the actuals at the end of the period.

2.

1.3

2.2

The way I see the decision point happening is at our hearing. So, we won't know if we're going to have this issue until we receive bids, which is only a few days before a hearing. And assume, on that day, we either don't receive bids or are going to reject the bids, we will certainly let folks know. And then, we'll be at a hearing days later, and that's where we'll be making a proposal that we propose to do either go out for another RFP or go to the market, and we could then have that discussion then. So, this, hopefully, will become a moot point, but that's how we see it playing out.

So, with that being said, we're happy to turn it over to you for questions. Again, Mr. Warshaw is next to me, Mr. Doll and Mr. Parker are on screen, and ready to field them.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Very good.

```
1
         Would you like them to be witnesses today and be
 2.
         sworn in? Or, would you prefer it to be
 3
         informal?
 4
                   MR. SHEEHAN:
                                  I'd prefer, frankly,
 5
         informal. I understand Eversource chose to swear
 6
         in, in part, because they were proposing to ask
 7
         the Commission to order something, and they would
         need a record. Here, we're not.
 9
                    So, I'm happy to keep this as a
         "technical session" kind of conversation.
10
11
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Any concerns
12
         from the parties?
1.3
                   MR. KREIS: None from us.
                   MR. YOUNG: And none from us.
14
15
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Very good.
16
                   And the next question is, would the OCA
17
         and/or DOE like to lead off or do you have any
18
         questions for Liberty today? Would you like to
19
         ask the witnesses anything?
20
                    I've puzzled Attorney Kreis. That's
2.1
         hard to do.
2.2
                   MR. KREIS: Well, I have a good idea
23
         already of what Liberty's witnesses are going to
24
         say -- or, Liberty's representatives, rather, are
```

going to say. And I don't expect to have any questions for them, this being a prehearing conference.

I suppose, if they say anything that I

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

find shocking, I might leap out of my chair and say "Wait a minute." But, other than that, I'm just -- mostly, I think the utility of today's proceedings is to hear them explain how they're approaching the current challenge, and then you folks up on the Bench can address any concerns that you might have.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Very good. Attorney Young?

MR. YOUNG: The Department, I guess, would also defer until after the remarks.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Very good. Very good.

So, Attorney Sheehan, would you like the Commissioners to address their questions to the witnesses, or would you like to lead off with some preamble?

MR. SHEEHAN: I'm all set. Again, I think everyone here understands the context, the background. And my sense is that you folks

```
1
         probably have more particular questions that I
 2.
         may not anticipate. So, I'd rather just turn it
 3
         over to you and proceed that way.
 4
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Very good.
 5
                   So, we'll begin with Commissioner
 6
         Simpson.
 7
                   CMSR. SIMPSON: Well, I think I
         understand the process that the Company just
 8
 9
         outlined. And, at this point, I don't have any
10
         questions.
11
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Attorney --
         "attorney". Commissioner Chattopadhyay. Sorry.
12
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Yes, I wish I was
1.3
14
         an attorney.
                   CMSR. SIMPSON: I'm not so sure about
15
16
         that, but I wish I were a Ph.D. in Economics.
17
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you.
18
                   MR. KREIS: It's not too late for any
19
         of that at this point.
20
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: I'm glad this is
2.1
         informal.
2.2
                   CMSR. SIMPSON:
                                    Okay.
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, can you,
23
24
         again, what's the Company in Missouri that have
```

1 the ability to do it? Empire District? 2. MR. SHEEHAN: The "Empire District". 3 But you can certainly call them "Liberty", 4 because they are. 5 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay, they are 6 Liberty. Okay. 7 And they can actually participate in the ISO-New England market? 8 9 MR. SHEEHAN: Aaron? 10 MR. DOLL: Can you hear me okay? 11 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Yes. 12 MR. DOLL: Okay. Sure. Maybe just to 1.3 help, give just a little brief background. 14 name is Aaron Doll, Senior Director of Energy 15 Strategy, here at Liberty Central, from the 16 legacy Empire District Electric Company. Just 17 some background on that company, in particular, 18 since that's our primary, we're the agent for 19 that company. About 1,200 megawatt peak load, in 20 Missouri, Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Kansas, 21 approximately 2,400 megawatts of nameplate 2.2 generation. The legacy Empire District Electric 23 Company, been around over 100 years when it was 24 acquired by Algonquin in 2018. And, so, we've

been a part of the Liberty family for about five years.

2.

1.3

2.2

In particular, I've got 16 years inside the industry, starting off in the Regulatory

Department and Planning arena, where I was focused on integrated resource planning, and then moved over to the Marketing Department, and have been there for the last eight to nine years, as we went from a imbalanced market, to a full day-two market.

So, the Department that I oversee is called "Energy Supply Services". We participate in the SPP Integrated Marketplace. And we provide power marketing, fuel procurement, resource adequacy support to support the participation in the SPP Integrated Marketplace.

Our shop is a 24/7 shop, with a rotating shift. We procure energy and manage all sorts of services on an hourly basis. So, while participation with New England ISO would be a new RTO for the Department, we are a fully capable and competent group, with the infrastructure and staff, able to support Granite State for the procurement of energy purposes, supplying energy

for the Granite State customers.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

And, you know, finally, I'll say we have, you know, relationships and service agreements with third parties, too. So, to the extent we get where we need additional assistance, on something outside of our particular area of expertise, we have those relationships and agreements in effect, and ready to execute on a timely basis.

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, should I assume that you have the ability to participate in the day-ahead markets and the real-time markets in the ISO-New England, and be able to procure whatever modeling you do for the forecasted needs, and sort of do it without having to deal with any red tape?

MR. DOLL: Yes, I believe so. We do, you know, since we are vertically integrated, we have both load and generation. So, this would just be kind of one side of what we currently do within the SPP Integrated Marketplace, and that is both a day-ahead and real-time procurement of energy for retail service.

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Good. So, as I

understood, Liberty mentioned that, if required, you can also do a second RFP. Let's say, let's create a hypothetical situation, 20 percent of your -- excuse me -- purchase on the first RFP, you determine that's, for whatever reason, you know, prices are way too high or that's not market-based. And, so, you kind of conclude that we need to -- that, for the 20 percent of your needs, that's a failed auction, failed RFP.

2.

1.3

2.2

And, so, you -- the question is, can you give me a sense of the timeline for the particular procurement that you're talking about here? And how much time would you need to be able to do the second RFP, if needed?

And can you -- and then, after sharing that, give me a sense of whether that is doable, and, you know, how much doable?

MR. WARSHAW: First of all, you know, we would -- our RFP and our supply is either all or nothing. We wouldn't have a piece of the supply hanging out. We buy for either the Residential and Small Customer Group or we buy for the Industrial and Large Commissioner Group, we have two blocks. And we don't split that up

```
1
         into pieces. It's 100 percent of the load in
 2.
         each of those blocks. So, there's only one
 3
         supplier that would take on a
 4
         Residential/Commercial block, and possibly one
 5
         supplier that would take on the Industrial.
 6
         it wouldn't be split, so that they would only
 7
         take on 50 percent or 25 percent of the load,
         they would be taking on 100 percent.
 8
 9
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, there is no
10
         laddering?
11
                   MR. WARSHAW: "Laddering" is different.
12
         Laddering is going across multiple months.
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Yes.
1.3
                   MR. WARSHAW: And we don't do
14
15
         laddering.
16
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Right.
17
                   MR. WARSHAW: We do everything six
18
         months.
19
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: And for -- assume
20
         I don't know anything about this. But, as far as
2.1
         the Commercial one, when you said it's a "block",
2.2
         do you divide them into months? And how does
23
         that work? Can you say that "for this month, the
24
         rates are really crazy"?
```

1 No. We would not do MR. WARSHAW: 2. When we receive a bid from a supplier, it's to serve all of the months in that block. 3 4 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okav. 5 MR. WARSHAW: We don't have the ability 6 to say "Well, we'll take three blocks from this 7 guy, and two blocks from the other guy, and a block from here." We don't have that. It's all 8 9 or nothing. 10 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. 11 MR. SHEEHAN: Just so you know, the 12 blocks on the Commercial side do vary by month, 1.3 but they come, you know, 20, 15, 20, but it's still one block. 14 15 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Yes, that's what 16 I was trying to understand. So, yes. 17 So, give me a sense of the timeline, 18 how things will, you know, proceed, and whether 19 you can actually, relatively comfortably, 20 accommodate a second round, second RFP? 2.1 MR. WARSHAW: I wouldn't say 2.2 "comfortably". We're, you know, we're receiving 23 our final binding bids on December 13th. 24 file a few days later the results. We would then

be in front of this Commission December 20th, if I have the right date. And, if, for some reason, it's a failed auction, we got the ability to go out for another RFP, would probably be tight.

2.

1.3

2.2

You know, between the Commission and everyone -- most other people heading into the Holiday Season, there may or may not be folks willing to do -- spend much time bidding. So, we would probably be receiving bids sometime in January. And then, it would be an accelerated -- I wouldn't do a indicative and final, I'd just, you know, ask for final binding bids. And it would still be the same sort of timeline, get bids on a certain date, file them within a few days, and then expect the Commission to be able to schedule a hearing within, you know, the timeframe that is needed to be able to approve the rates, so that they can go into effect on February 1st.

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, when, in

January, would you expect that would wrap up, if

you go to the second RFP? And you said "sometime
in January", can you give me a sense?

MR. WARSHAW: I don't have a specific

```
1
         date.
 2.
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: No, I'm not
 3
         asking that. A sense?
 4
                   MR. WARSHAW: Yes. I would think that
 5
         we could probably get a new, you know, if we
 6
         issue an RFP, and we get responses, we could
 7
         probably be in front of the Commission maybe the
 8
         second or, you know, by the third week of
 9
         January.
10
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. And, from
11
         that time onwards, if even the second one ends up
12
         failing, the RFP, do you have enough time to do
1.3
         exactly what you were talking about, going to the
14
         spot markets?
15
                   MR. WARSHAW:
                                  I would guess that, if we
16
         have a failed RFP in December, we would basically
17
         do, at the same time, going out for a second RFP,
18
         we would also be setting up the Company --
19
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Good.
20
                   MR. WARSHAW: -- to be able to do
2.1
         the -- participate in the New England ISO
2.2
         marketplace.
23
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY:
                                          Okay.
24
                   MR. WARSHAW:
                                  Currently, they are not
```

set up. We would have to have them, you know, get certificates, and set up their system, so that they could verify it, when they submit a bid, the bid would be accepted by ISO, and then they would get the echo back to make sure it's received. But I believe that we can do all of that before February 1st.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. That is extremely helpful. Thank you. That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you, Commissioner.

So, the first question is, if there is a failed solicitation, let's just say it's in your Residential, you know, category, how do you set the six-month price?

Let's say you have to go completely to the spot market, in a failed solicitation, how do you decide what that set price is for six months? How would that be determined?

MR. WARSHAW: We would -- we would set that price based on the market fundamentals at the time, using NYMEX futures for that period, and, additionally, adding on estimated costs for

ancillary services, Forward Capacity Market, some other adjustment, I could say, to take into account differences between forward pricing and actual expected pricing.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

And we would then have a price that we would put out for our customers. But that price -- and that cost would be fully reconcilable at our next reconciliation, which is scheduled for June of 2023.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: So, it sounds like you have the algorithm already sorted out, you articulated the major pieces. But you have the algorithm in your mind that would set the price.

We'd reconcile in six months. Is that correct?

MR. WARSHAW: Correct.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay, very good.

Let's see. So, if -- let's just assume for a moment that both Eversource and Liberty are 100 percent in the spot market for this cycle.

And we were to, at the end of the six months, we were to look back, should we expect the price that Liberty achieves to be the same as the price as Eversource in that time period, if you're both

```
1
         accessing the spot market at the same time?
 2.
                   MR. WARSHAW:
                                  No.
 3
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: And why not?
 4
                   MR. WARSHAW: Liberty would have a
 5
         different load profile than Eversource would
 6
                They may have other products that they're
 7
         looking at. Their other pieces of the charges
         might be a little different.
 8
 9
                    I mean, we would probably be similar,
10
         but I wouldn't say we would have the same number.
11
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: And would you be
12
         able to suggest whether you would expect to be
         higher or lower than Eversource?
1.3
14
                    I understand they're much larger and so
15
         forth, but you're more clever. So, --
16
                    [Laughter.]
17
                   MR. WARSHAW: I couldn't tell you if we
18
         would be higher or lower at this point.
19
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. But is there
20
         anything about the profile that would be
2.1
         beneficial to Liberty? Or, are you sort of on
2.2
         the wrong side of the equation with the lower
23
         load?
24
                   MR. WARSHAW:
                                  Sometimes we're higher,
```

```
1
         sometimes we're lower. It just, you know, it
 2.
         just depends.
 3
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Yes. Okay. All
 4
         right. We will probably compare that. So, we'll
 5
         see who wins.
 6
                   Okay. Is there -- that was what I had.
 7
         Do the other Commissioners have any follow-on
         questions?
 8
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: No.
 9
10
                   CMSR. SIMPSON: No. Thank you, Mr.
11
         Chairman.
12
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: All right.
1.3
         let's circle back to the other parties, to see if
14
         there's anything that they would like to follow
15
         up on. Consumer Advocate, Attorney Kreis?
16
                   MR. KREIS: Nothing from me.
17
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. And Attorney
18
         Young?
19
                   MR. YOUNG: No questions at this time.
20
         I do have just a brief statement I guess I could
21
         read for the Department.
2.2
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Very good.
23
         We can move to that phase, if that's okay,
24
         Attorney Sheehan?
```

[Atty. Sheehan indicating in the affirmative.]

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Let's begin with the OCA, in case they have something,
Attorney Young, and then move to the Department.

Do you have any statement you'd like to make,
Attorney Kreis?

MR. KREIS: I would like to commend

Liberty for rising to the present difficult
occasion in a manner that I consider responsible
and thoughtful and appropriate for an
investor-owned electric distribution company in
the state.

I dare to cling to some degree of optimism that maybe what we're looking at over the next few weeks are a pair of solicitations that will go much like the previous ones have gone, despite all the concern. If that happens, it will be great. If that doesn't happen, I think Liberty is well poised to react in a manner that is calculated to be in the best interests of the Company's residential customers, to the extent that's possible.

And I'm particularly heartened by the

2.7

fact that the Company is willing to think about things like conducting a second solicitation, if that becomes necessary. I understand why that might be a difficult proposition. And I understand why that might not work. But it is, potentially, given the way this plays out, one avenue that might need to be explored.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So, I'm looking forward to working with Liberty on the remainder of this proceeding. And I will do my best to publicly defend whatever the ultimate outcome is. Because, to some degree, those who are concerned and outraged think that some of us in this room have the ability to walk down to Hampton Beach and command the tide not to come in; and, of course, we all know that's not possible.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: That is my experience as well.

Attorney Young.

MR. YOUNG: Thank you.

The Department acknowledges the substantial volatility and uncertainty that prevail in the current wholesale electricity markets, and the related challenges facing

electric distribution utilities as they seek suppliers for default service to retail customers.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

In many respects, the current challenges are unprecedented. And we support Liberty's efforts today to inform the Commission of their contingency plans for handling any issues that may arise in their procurement process, with the hope that these contingencies are not needed.

The Department expects to stay apprised of any of the procurement process as it moves forward in the coming weeks. At the moment, the Department does not see any reason to deviate from the normal default service procurement procedures. However, that position could change, based on any new developments.

With that, I'm happy to answer any other questions.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you, Attorney Young.

And Attorney Sheehan.

MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.

I will pick up on what Mr. Young said.

{DE 22-024} [Prehearing conference] {11-17-22}

We fully intend to follow the normal course.

And, not being a player, I'll have my fingers crossed. Hopefully, others will have better ways of making sure we have a good auction. But I appreciated the opportunity to come in and tell you what we could do in the bad outcome, if it

We will be advising people, once numbers start coming in, and doing our best to keep everyone up to speed. So, if we have to come in with a "failed auction" scenario, there will be some warning.

Thank you.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

occurs.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. And I know -- I believe this is the last opportunity we'll have to see Attorney Wiesner in this hearing room. So, we would just like to say -- I'd like to say, on behalf of the Commission, it's been a pleasure working with you, a consummate professional, and we'll miss you in this particular setting.

MR. WIESNER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And I want to thank all the Commissioners for,

you know, your indulgence the many opportunities

I've had to address you over these last many months.

And it is true, I am leaving to pursue an opportunity in the private sector. And I'm glad I had this final opportunity to address you this afternoon.

Thank you.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you, Attorney Wiesner.

Is there anything else that we need to cover today?

CMSR. SIMPSON: I would just echo that.

I wish Attorney Wiesner well. It's been a pleasure working with you over the last several years.

And, you know, with respect to the issues outlined here today, appreciate the Company, I'm grateful for the witnesses that you've brought here today, both from Empire and Granite State Electric.

I'm hopeful that we don't run into a situation where we have to find a second RFP and a contingency in the market. But I appreciate you being here and describing these steps to us

```
1
          today.
                  It's helpful.
 2
                    MR. SHEEHAN:
                                   Thank you.
 3
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: You picked a fine
 4
          state to work with. Missouri is outstanding, and
         that's where I'm from. So, it's an excellent
 5
 6
          choice, Attorney Sheehan.
 7
                    All right. Thank you, everybody.
 8
         are adjourned.
 9
                    (Whereupon the prehearing conference
10
                    was adjourned at 2:03 p.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```